

Nucleophilic behaviour of the neutral complexes $[M(C^{\wedge}P)(S_2CNMe_2)]$ [$M = Pd, Pt$; $C^{\wedge}P = CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2$]- κ - C,P] towards $Ag(I)$ and $Au(I)$ compounds. Synthesis ($M = Pd, Pt$) and molecular structures ($M = Pt$) of polynuclear complexes containing $M-Ag$ and $M-S$ bonds

J. Forniés,^a A. Martín,^b R. Navarro,^a V. Sicilia,^a P. Villarroya^a and A. G. Orpen^b

^a Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-C.S.I.C., 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

^b School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK BS8 ITS

Received 2nd July 1998, Accepted 16th September 1998

The reactions between $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)]$ ($M = Pt$ **A**, Pd **B**) and $[M'(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$ ($M' = Ag, Au$) in 1 : 1 ratio yielded $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)M'(PPh_3)]ClO_4$ ($M' = Ag, M = Pt$ **1**, Pd **2**; $M' = Au, M = Pt$ **3**, Pd **4**). The molecular structure of **1** indicates that the Ag and Pt atoms are bridged by one S atom of the S_2CNMe_2 ligand and show a weak interaction between them [$Pt-Ag$ 2.875 (1) Å]. Complexes **A** and **B** also react with $AgClO_4$ in 1 : 1 molar ratio to give $[M_2\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}_2(S_2CNMe_2)_2Ag\{Ag(OCIO_3)\}]ClO_4$ ($M = Pt$ **5**, Pd **6**). The X-ray study of **5** revealed that this compound crystallized as a mixture of $[Pt_2\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}_2(S_2CNMe_2)_2Ag\{Ag(OCIO_3)\}]ClO_4$ and $[Pt_2\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}_2(S_2CNMe_2)_2\{Ag(OH_2)\}\{Ag(OCIO_3)\}]ClO_4$ in 1 : 1 molar ratio. In the two different cations only one Ag atom is bonded to Pt , with the $Pt-Ag$ lengths being 2.671 (3) Å for $[Pt_2\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}_2(S_2CNMe_2)_2Ag\{Ag(OCIO_3)\}]^+$ and 2.752(3) Å for $[Pt_2\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}_2(S_2CNMe_2)_2\{Ag(OH_2)\}\{Ag(OCIO_3)\}]^+$, both in the range found in other compounds with $Pt-Ag$ bonds. Compounds **5** and **6** react with PPh_3 in 1 : 2 molar ratio to give compounds **1** and **2** respectively.

Introduction

Anionic organometallic complexes of $Pt(II)$ with perhalophenyl ligands are known to react with a variety of Ag^+ derivatives to afford heteronuclear compounds displaying donor-acceptor $Pt^{II} \rightarrow Ag^I$ bonds,¹ in many cases unsupported by any covalent bridging ligand. In contrast, neutral perhalophenyl derivatives do not yield similar $Pt-Ag$ complexes. As far as we know, only a small number of $Pt-Ag$ complexes involving neutral $Pt(II)$ fragments have been described,²⁻⁸ and structurally characterized.⁴⁻⁸ In the latter complexes there are ligands bridging between the Pt and Ag atoms.


Recently we have described the synthesis of the neutral complexes $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CZ)]$ ($M = Pt, Pd$; $Z = NMe_2, OEt$)⁹ and we have demonstrated their ability to form donor-acceptor $M-Hg$ bonds, unsupported by any covalent bridging ligands, when they are reacted with HgX_2 ($X = Br, I$).^{9b} This indicates that in these complexes the metal centre, M , must have an excess of available electron density to act as a Lewis base toward suitable Lewis acids.

For this reason we have decided to explore the reactivity of the compounds $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CZ)]$ ($M = Pt, Pd$; $Z = NMe_2, OEt$) towards other Lewis acids such as $[M'(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$ ($M' = Ag, Au$) and $AgClO_4$ in order to prepare heteropolynuclear complexes, to study their structures and to establish the influence of the sulfur containing ligands in the formation of the $Pt-M'$ bonds. While $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2COEt)]$ ($M = Pt, Pd$) react with $AgClO_4$ and $[M'(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$ ($M' = Ag, Au$) with decomposition, $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)]$ ($M = Pt$ **A**, Pd **B**) afford new complexes which are the subject of this paper.

Results and discussion

Reactivity of complexes $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)]$ ($M = Pt$ **A**, Pd **B**) with $[Ag(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$, $[Au(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$ and $AgClO_4$

The reactions carried out are collected in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1

As is to be expected, the reactions of $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)]$ ($M = Pt$ **A**, Pd **B**) with $[Ag(OCIO_3)(PPh_3)]$ in 1 : 1 molar ratio in the dark gave $[M\{CH_2C_6H_4P(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\}(S_2CNMe_2)Ag(PPh_3)]ClO_4$ ($M = Pt$ **1**, Pd **2**), which could be isolated as stable solids in good yield [Scheme 1(a)].

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for **1**·1.5CHCl₃ and **5**·0.5H₂O·0.5CHCl₃·0.125C₆H₁₄^a

	1·1.5CHCl ₃	5·0.5CHCl ₃ ·0.125C ₆ H ₁₄
Empirical formula	C ₄₀ H ₄₁ AgClNO ₄ P ₂ PtS ₂ ·1.5CHCl ₃	C ₄₈ H ₅₂ Ag ₂ Cl ₂ N ₂ O ₈ P ₂ S ₄ ·0.5H ₂ O·0.5CHCl ₃ ·0.125C ₆ H ₁₄
<i>M</i>	1267.28	1731.38
<i>a</i> /Å	13.312(4)	13.1745(25)
<i>b</i> /Å	14.145(5)	14.3883(25)
<i>c</i> /Å	14.793(5)	17.6371(27)
<i>a</i> /°	112.19(3)	84.071(12)
<i>β</i> /°	99.97(3)	85.845(12)
<i>γ</i> /°	101.18(3)	83.872(12)
<i>U</i> /Å ³	2435.3(14)	3300.0(10)
<i>D_c</i> /Mg m ⁻³	1.728	1.742
<i>μ</i> /mm ⁻¹	3.765	5.173
<i>F</i> (000)	1246	1672
Crystal size/mm	0.50 × 0.30 × 0.30	0.55 × 0.45 × 0.35
<i>θ</i> range for data collection/°	1.54–25.00	1.56–23.27
Index ranges	0 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 15, −16 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 16, −17 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 17	−14 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 10, −15 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 15, −19 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 19
Reflections collected	9042	14032
Independent reflections (<i>R</i> _{int})	8584 (0.0220)	9203 (0.0291)
Data, restraints, parameters	8583, 6, 632	9200, 36, 707
Goodness-of-fit ^b on <i>F</i> ²	1.239	1.837
Final <i>R</i> indices ^b [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]: <i>R</i> ₁ , <i>wR</i> ₂	0.0451, 0.1263	0.0512, 0.1517
<i>R</i> indices (all data): ^b <i>R</i> ₁ , <i>wR</i> ₂	0.0568, 0.1340	0.0626, 0.1635
Largest difference peak, hole/e Å ⁻³	2.702, −1.972	1.354, −0.901
<i>g</i> (<i>w</i>) ^b	0.0800	0.0600

^a Details in common: triclinic, space group *P* $\bar{1}$, *T* = 293(2) K, *Z* = 2, *λ* = 0.71073 Å; full matrix least-squares refinement on *F*². ^b *R*₁ = Σ||*F*_o| − |*F*_c||/Σ|*F*_o|; *wR*₂ = {Σ[w(*F*_o² − *F*_c²)/Σw(*F*_o²)]²}^{1/2}; *w* = [σ²(*F*_o²) + (*gP*)²]⁻¹, where *P* = [Max(*F*_o², 0) + 2*F*_c²]/3; goodness of fit = [w(*F*_o² − *F*_c²)/(*N* − *P*)]^{1/2}, where *N*, *P* are the numbers of observations and parameters, respectively.

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for [Pt(C[∞]P)(S₂CNMe₂)Ag(PPh₃)]ClO₄ **1**


Pt–C(1)	2.062(7)	Pt–Ag	2.875(1)
Pt–P(1)	2.240(2)	Ag–P(2)	2.383(2)
Pt–S(1)	2.369(2)	Ag–S(1)	2.594(2)
Pt–S(2)	2.405(2)		
C(1)–Pt–P(1)	85.0(2)	C(1)–Pt–S(1)	93.4(2)
P(1)–Pt–S(2)	107.7(1)	S(1)–Pt–S(2)	74.1(1)
Pt–S(1)–Ag	70.6(1)	S(1)–Ag–P(2)	165.0(1)
P(2)–Ag–Pt	131.5(1)	S(1)–Ag–Pt	51.0(1)

The gold(I) derivatives [M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)Au(PPh₃)]ClO₄ (*M* = Pt **3**, Pd **4**) were obtained by reaction of complexes [M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)] (*M* = Pt **A**, Pd **B**) with [Au(OCIO₃)(PPh₃)] in 1:1 molar ratio [Scheme 1(b)]. The [Au(OCIO₃)(PPh₃)] species was generated *in situ* from [AuCl(PPh₃)] and AgClO₄.

The structure of [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)-Ag(PPh₃)]ClO₄ **1** was established by a single crystal X-ray study. The structure of the cation is shown in Fig. 1. General crystallographic information is collected in Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2.

As can be seen, the Pt atom displays a distorted square-pyramidal environment with the Pt, the C and P atoms of the C[∞]P group and both S atoms of the S₂CNMe₂ located in the basal plane and the Ag atom of the [Ag(PPh₃)]⁺ fragment in the apical position. The angle between the Pt–Ag vector and the perpendicular to the basal plane [Pt, P(1), C(1), S(1), S(2)] is 29.5°.¹⁰

Distances and angles around the Pt center in the basal plane are similar to those observed in the starting complex [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)]^{9a} or in [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)HgI(μ-I)]₂.^{9b} As in these cases, the angles between *cis* ligands around the platinum center are rather different from 90° due to the small bite angles of both chelate ligands present in the complex. The metalocycle defined by the Pt, P(1), C(1), C(2), C(3) atoms is planar and coplanar with the C-bonded *o*-tolyl ring.¹⁰ The other two *o*-tolyl rings are also planar, and they are oriented forming a dihedral

**Fig. 1** Molecular structure and atomic numbering scheme of the cation in **1**.

angle of 63.59° [C(8)–C(14)] and 89.79° [C(15)–C(21)] respectively with the metalocycle plane [Pt, P(1), C(1), C(2), C(3)].

The platinum and silver fragments, [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}-(S₂CNMe₂)] and [Ag(PPh₃)]⁺, share one sulfur atom of the dithiocarbamate group, leading to a very acute Pt–S(1)–Ag angle [70.6 (1)°] probably as a consequence of the interaction between the metal centers. The Ag atom is also coordinated by the PPh₃ ligand and more weakly by the Pt atom [Pt–Ag 2.875(1) Å]. The coordination environment and the angles around the Ag atom in **1** are similar to those in [(PPh₃)-(C₆Cl₅)ClPt(μ-Cl)Ag(PPh₃)]¹¹ and NBu₄[PtAgCl₂(C₆Cl₅)₂-(PPh₃)]¹² in which the Pt and Ag centers are bridged by a Cl atom. The Ag–P^{11–13} and Ag–S^{5,8} bond distances, 2.383(2) and 2.594(2) Å respectively, are in the range found for other Pt–Ag complexes containing these kind of ligands.

The Pt–Ag distance [2.875(1) Å] is shorter than that corresponding to the sum of the van der Waals radii¹⁴ for Pt and Ag and is in the range found in other complexes which exhibit

Table 3 $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR data for complexes 1–6^a

Complex	C [^] P		PPh ₃	
	$\delta(^{31}\text{P})$	J_{PtP}/Hz	$\delta(^{31}\text{P})$	J_{AgP}/Hz
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)] A	24.70 (s)	3969.4		
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)] B	35.58 (s)			
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Ag(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 1 ^b	25.20 (s)	4011.4	9.1 (d)	
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Ag(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 2 ^c	37.07 (s)		13.46 (2d)	¹⁰⁹ Ag–P 714.36 ¹⁰⁷ Ag–P 618.78
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Au(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 3	24.52 (s)	4156.8	32.83 (s)	
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Au(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 4	35.54 (s)		33.49 (s)	
[Pt ₂ (C [^] P) ₂ (S ₂ CNMe ₂) ₂ Ag{Ag(OCIO ₃)}]ClO ₄ 5	24.93 (s)	3911.8		
[Pd ₂ (C [^] P) ₂ (S ₂ CNMe ₂) ₂ Ag{Ag(OCIO ₃)}]ClO ₄ 6 ^c	41.07 (s)			

^a C[^]P = CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂; spectra recorded in CDCl₃ at room temperature unless otherwise stated; s = singlet. ^b *T* = 193 K, CD₂Cl₂. ^c *T* = 218 K, CDCl₃.

Table 4 ^1H NMR data for complexes 1–6^a

Complex	C [^] P and PPh ₃			
	$\delta(\text{CH}_3)$	$\delta(\text{CH}_2)$ (<i>J</i> /Hz)	δ (aromatic)	δ (–S ₂ CNMe ₂)
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)] A	2.42 (s) 2.78 (s)	3.38 (s, 1H, ² <i>J</i> _{PtH} = 85.7) 3.44 (s, 1H, ² <i>J</i> _{PtH} = 94.3)	6.9–7.3	3.23 (s) 3.24 (s)
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)] B	2.44 (s) 2.74 (s)	3.38 (s, 2H)	6.7–7.4	3.29 (s) 3.34 (s)
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Ag(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 1	2.30 (s) 2.64 (s)	3.31 (2H, ² <i>J</i> _{PtH} = 89)	6.8–7.5	3.36 (s) 3.39 (s)
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Ag(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 2	2.32 (s) 2.63 (s)	3.33 (s, 2H)	6.8–7.6	3.44 (s) 3.52 (s)
[Pt(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Au(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 3	2.31 (s) 2.62 (s)	— ^c	6.8–7.7	3.45 (s) 3.49 (s)
[Pd(C [^] P)(S ₂ CNMe ₂)Au(PPh ₃)]ClO ₄ 4	2.39 (s) 2.69 (s)	2.91 (s, 1H) 3.16 (s, 1H)	6.7–7.7	3.27 (s) 3.31 (s)
[Pt ₂ (C [^] P) ₂ (S ₂ CNMe ₂) ₂ Ag{Ag(OCIO ₃)}]ClO ₄ 5	2.28 (s) 2.63 (s)	3.08 (s, 1H) 3.21 (s, 1H)	6.7–7.7	3.34 (s) 3.40 (s)
[Pd ₂ (C [^] P) ₂ (S ₂ CNMe ₂) ₂ Ag{Ag(OCIO ₃)}]ClO ₄ 6 ^b	2.12 (s) 2.65 (s)	3.08 (d, 1H, ² <i>J</i> _{Hh} = 11.13) 3.37 (d, 1H)	6.5–7.7	3.49 (s) 3.54 (s)

^a C[^]P = CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂; spectra recorded in CDCl₃ at room temperature unless otherwise stated; s = singlet, d = doublet. ^b 218 K. ^c CH signal obscured by Me₂NCS₂ signal.

Pt–Ag bonds. As example can be mentioned the compounds [Pt₃(S₂CNPrⁱ)₆Ag₂]BF₄⁴ and [Pt₃(S₂CNBuⁿ)₆Ag₂]ClO₄⁴ in which the Pt–Ag distances range from 3.061 to 2.825 Å and the interaction between both metal centers is indicated by the coupling of the nuclear spins observed in their ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra. Unfortunately, our complexes are not soluble enough to measure the ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra.

These compounds seem to retain their structure in solution, because their mass spectra (FAB⁺) show in all cases the molecular peak for the corresponding cation [M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)M'(PPh₃)]⁺ [988 (**1**), 900 (**2**), 1077 (**3**), 988 (**4**)].

The ³¹P NMR spectra of **1** and **2** were measured at low temperature but this was not necessary for complexes **3** and **4**. According to their structure, compounds **1–4** show two signals due to the C[^]P and the PPh₃ groups (Table 3). The C[^]P give a singlet, which appears flanked by the corresponding ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites for complexes **1** and **3** [*J*_{PtP} = 4011.4 Hz (**1**), 4156.8 Hz (**3**)]. The signal due to M'PPh₃ appears as a singlet when M' = Au (complexes **3** and **4**) or as two doublets when M' = Ag (complexes **1** and **2**), because of the presence of two isotopes, ¹⁰⁷Ag and ¹⁰⁹Ag, each having spin *I* = 1/2. For complex **2** the two Ag–P coupling constants could be calculated as 218 K, with *J*_{107AgP} and *J*_{109AgP} = 618.78 and 714.36 Hz respectively. Similar values for *J*_{AgP} have been observed in other complexes containing the fragment 'Ag(PPh₃)' in e.g. [PtMe₂(bpy){Ag(PPh₃)}]BF₄.² For complex **1**, *J*_{AgP} could not be observed, even when the ³¹P NMR spectrum was measured at 193 K in CD₂Cl₂. The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds **1–4** also show the signals

due to the C[^]P and the PPh₃ groups in agreement with their structure (Table 4).

Complexes **1–4** show similar IR and NMR spectra and, on these bases, similar structures can be proposed. However, since it is well known that the Pd centres exhibit a lower tendency to involve in Pd→M bonds,^{9b,15} it is possible that in complexes **2** and **4** the Pd and M' fragments are only joined by the sulfur centers.

Complexes [M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)] (M = Pt **A**, Pd **B**) react also with AgClO₄ in 1:1 molar ratio in the dark to yield the heteronuclear complexes of stoichiometry [M₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag₂(ClO₄)₂] (M = Pt **5**, Pd **6**) according to Scheme 1(c). Compounds **5** and **6** are air-stable and can be stored in the dark for extended periods.

The IR spectra of **5** and **6** show in both cases absorptions due to the C[^]P and the S₂CNMe₂¹⁶ ligands together with the corresponding ones to the ClO₄[−] anion.¹⁷ However, no absorptions assignable to the O₃ClO[−] ligand can be observed.

The X-ray study of **5** [Fig. 2(a), (b)] revealed that, in the solid, only one ClO₄[−] group is ionic whereas the other is bonded to one of the silver atoms, and also, that in 50% of the molecules, the second silver atom is bonded to one molecule of water [Fig. 2(b)]. This indicates that the crystallized compound is a mixture of [Pt₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OCIO₃)}]ClO₄ and [Pt₂{CH₂C₆H₄(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag(OH₂)}{Ag(OCIO₃)}]ClO₄ in 1:1 molar ratio. However, it should be noted that the IR spectra of **5** and **6** show that no water is present in the non-crystalline solids. Also, the




Fig. 2 Molecular structures and atomic numbering schemes of two views of the cation in 5.

FAB⁺ spectra show the molecular peaks corresponding to $[M_2\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-o)_2\}_2(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)_2\text{Ag}\{\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)\}]^+$ cations (5, 1553; 6, 1376). These facts lead us to formulate compounds 5 and 6 as $[M_2\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-o)_2\}_2(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)_2\text{Ag}\{\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)\}]\text{ClO}_4$ ($M = \text{Pt}$ 5, Pd 6) and to consider that the coordination of water to one Ag atom of one half of the molecules took place during the crystallization process.

The molecular structure of the two different images of the cation observed in the crystal of 5 are shown in Fig. 2 together with the atomic numbering schemes. The only difference between them is the coordination mode of Ag(2) [Fig. 2(a)] or Ag(2') [Fig. 2(b)]. General crystallographic information is collected in Table 1. Bond distances and angles are summarized in Table 5.

First, we will describe the structure of the cationic complex $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{C}^\wedge\text{P})_2(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)_2\text{Ag}\{\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)\}]^+$ [$\text{C}^\wedge\text{P} = \text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-o)_2$] [Fig. 2(a)]. As can be seen, the complex cation can be regarded as two $\text{Pt}(\text{C}^\wedge\text{P})(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)$ sub-units connected by two silver atoms. The platinum atoms show different coordination environments. Atom Pt(1) is located in a square pyramidal environment with Ag(2) sited in the apical position and the donor atoms of the C^\wedgeP and S_2CNMe_2 chelating ligands in the basal plane. The Pt(1)–Ag(2) distance [2.671(3) Å] is at the low end of the range of distances found for other complexes with Pt–Ag bonds.¹ In addition, the angle between the Pt(1)–Ag(2) vector and the perpendicular to the Pt(1) coordination plane [Pt(1), C(1), P(1), S(1), S(2)] is only 7.6°.¹⁰ Both facts indicate a Pt(1)–Ag(2) bond which is not supported by covalent bridging ligands.

On the other hand, atom P(2) shows a distorted square-planar environment formed by the donor atoms of the two chelate ligands bonded to it [C(25), P(2), S(3), S(4)]. Bond distances and angles around the platinum center in both $\text{Pt}(\text{C}^\wedge\text{P})(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)$ sub-units are in the range usually found for related compounds.^{9,18–21} The dihedral angle between the square planes is 23.2°.¹⁰

Table 5 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 5

Pt(1)–C(1)	2.056(11)	Pt(2)–C(25)	2.071(12)
Pt(1)–P(1)	2.235(3)	Pt(2)–P(2)	2.228(3)
Pt(1)–S(1)	2.355(3)	Pt(2)–S(3)	2.369(3)
Pt(1)–S(2)	2.408(3)	Pt(2)–S(4)	2.409(3)
Pt(1)–Ag(2)	2.671(3)	Pt(2)–Ag(1)	2.907(1)
Pt(2)–Ag(2)	2.998(3)		
Ag(2)–S(3)	2.300(5)	Pt(1)–Ag(2')	2.752(3)
Ag(1)–S(1)	2.485(3)	Ag(2')–S(3)	2.694(5)
Ag(1)–O(1)	2.564(11)	Ag(2')–O(9)	2.231(29)
Ag(1)–S(4)	2.660(3)	Ag(2')–S(2)	2.941(5)
Ag(1)–Ag(2)	2.905(3)	Ag(1)–Ag(2')	2.856(3)
C(1)–Pt(1)–P(1)	85.1(3)	S(1)–Ag(1)–O(1)	95.6(3)
C(1)–Pt(1)–S(1)	92.4(3)	O(1)–Ag(1)–S(4)	86.4(3)
P(1)–Pt(1)–S(2)	108.1(1)	S(1)–Ag(1)–S(4)	174.6(1)
S(1)–Pt(1)–S(2)	74.5(1)	S(3)–Ag(2)–Pt(1)	167.1(2)
C(25)–Pt(2)–P(2)	85.5(4)		
C(25)–Pt(2)–S(3)	93.4(4)	O(9)–Ag(2')–Pt(1)	136.9(7)
P(2)–Pt(2)–S(4)	106.7(1)	S(3)–Ag(2')–Pt(1)	130.2(2)
S(3)–Pt(2)–S(4)	74.4(1)	O(9)–Ag(2')–S(3)	92.9(7)

The two silver atoms present in the cation show also different environments. Atom Ag(2) is bonded to Pt(1) [Pt(1)–Ag(2) 2.671(3) Å] and to one S [S(3)] atom of the Pt(2) sub-unit [Ag(2)–S(3) 2.300(5) Å] showing essentially a linear coordination mode [Pt(1)–Ag(2)–S(3) 167.1(2)°]. The Ag(2) atom is located at 2.998(3) Å from the Pt(2), however the angle formed by the Pt(2)–Ag(2) vector and the perpendicular to the Pt(2) coordination plane is 43.9°, indicating that there is no Pt(2)–Ag(2) bond.

Atom Ag(1) is bonded to one S atom of each Pt sub-unit, [Ag(1)–S(1) 2.485(3) and Ag(1)–S(4) 2.660(3) Å], with the S(1)–Ag(1)–S(4) angle being 174.6(1)°. Ag(1) is also bonded to one oxygen atom of a perchlorate group [Ag(1)–O(1) 2.564(11) Å] showing a T-shaped coordination geometry. The Ag(1)–O(1) distance is shorter than those observed in other complexes with ClO_4 coordinated in monodentate $[\text{Ag}_2(\text{napy})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ [Ag–O 2.62(2) Å], ($\text{napy} = 1,8\text{-naphthyridine}$),²² chelate $[\text{AgL}(\text{ClO}_4)]$ [$L = 2,11\text{-bis}[(\text{diphenylphosphino})\text{methyl}]\text{benzo}[c]\text{phenanthrene}$] [Ag–O 2.75(1) and 2.81(1) Å]²³ or bridge $[\text{Ag}_2(\mu\text{-dpph})_2(\mu\text{-ClO}_4)_2]$ ($\text{dpph} = 1,6\text{-bis}(\text{diphenylphosphino})\text{-hexane}$) [Ag–O 2.639(4) and 2.712(6) Å]²⁴ fashion, indicating that the Ag(1)–O(1) bond is relatively strong. Atom Ag(1) is located 2.907(1) Å away from the Pt(2) centre and is not close to the perpendicular to the Pt(2) coordination plane [Pt, C(25), P(2), S(3), S(4)], the angle between them being 52.4°.¹⁰ These features suggest that no bond exists between the metal centers.

As we have already mentioned, half of the cations have the stoichiometry $[\text{Pt}_2\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-o)_2\}_2(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)_2\{\text{Ag}(\text{OH}_2)\}\{\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)\}]^+$ and their molecular structure is represented in Fig. 2(b). The structural data for this cation are not too different from those for $[\text{Pt}_2\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-o)_2\}_2(\text{S}_2\text{CNMe}_2)_2\text{Ag}\{\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)\}]^+$ [Fig. 2(a)] except those concerning the Ag(2') and Ag(2) environments.


As is Ag(2), atom Ag(2') is bonded to Pt(1) [Pt(1)–Ag(2') 2.752(3) Å] and to one S atom from the Pt(2) sub-unit [Ag(2')–S(3) 2.694(5) Å], but in contrast to Ag(2), atom Ag(2') is also bonded to the oxygen atom of a water molecule [Ag(2')–O(9) 2.23(3) Å] showing a distorted triangular planar coordination environment instead of the linear arrangement displayed by Ag(2).

The Pt(1)–Ag(2') distance [2.752(3) Å], is longer than Pt(1)–Ag(2) [2.671(3) Å], and this is in keeping with the following: (a) the angle between the perpendicular to the Pt(1) coordination plane and the Pt(1)–Ag(2') vector (22.9°) is larger than the one formed with the Pt–Ag(2) vector (7.6°), indicating a poorer

overlap between the orbitals involved in the Pt(1)–Ag(2') bond than the corresponding one to the Pt(1)–Ag(2) bond; (b) Ag(2') is three-coordinate and because of this is less acidic than Ag(2), so that the Pt(1)–Ag(2') bond should be weaker. The Ag(2')–O(9) bond length [2.23(3) Å] is shorter than those observed in other silver–aquo complexes such as, e.g. [Ag₂(C₉H₈NO₃)₂·(H₂O)₂·2H₂O [Ag–O 2.518(4) Å]^{25a} and *cis*-[(NH₃)₂Pt(1-MeU)(1-MeC)Ag(OH₂)](NO₃)₂·Ag(NO₃)·2.5 H₂O (1 MeU = 1-methyluracilate, 1-MeC = 1-methylcytosine) [Ag–O 2.396(13) Å].^{25b} Finally, although the Ag(2') atom is located fairly close to the sulfur atoms of the Pt(1) sub-unit [Ag(2')–S(2) 2.941(5) Å] any direct interaction, if present, is very weak.

It is noteworthy that in each view of the cation [Fig. 2(a) and (b)] the two Ag atoms are in close proximity [Ag(1)–Ag(2) 2.905(3), Ag(1)–Ag(2') 2.856(3) Å]. These lengths are similar to the Ag–Ag distance in metallic silver (2.8894 Å)²⁶ and fall in the range of distances observed in complexes with silver–silver interactions.^{27,28}

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **5** shows only one singlet with the corresponding ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites (see Table 3). Looking at the structure of **5** [Fig. 2(a)] this may be due to the fact that either **5** adopts a symmetrical molecular structure in solution or that dissociative processes take place on the NMR time scale [eqn. (1), M = Pd, Pt]. In the latter case, the observed signal will be



the average of the corresponding signals for [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)] **A** and [Pt₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OClO₃)}]ClO₄ **5**.

³¹P NMR spectra of different mixtures of such compounds (**5** and **A**) were shown to give only one singlet at δ(³¹P) values between those corresponding to pure **5** (δ 24.93) and **A** (δ 24.7) and as the amount of **A** in the mixture was increased, the δ(³¹P) values move closer to the δ(³¹P) value for pure **A**. This observation indicates that the equilibrium represented by eqn. (1) is, in all likelihood, responsible for the observation of only one singlet in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of **5**. In light of this equilibrium, AgClO₄ was added to a NMR sample of **5** with a view to obtaining its ³¹P NMR signal, but a yellow solid precipitated in the NMR tube preventing a successful experiment. The signals observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **5** are in agreement with the equilibrium represented in eqn. (1) (Table 4).

The solution behaviour of compound **6** is similar to that observed for **5** (Tables 3 and 4).

As is to be expected, complexes **5** and **6** react with PPh₃ (in 1:2 molar ratio) in CH₂Cl₂ yielding the complexes [M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)AgPPh₃]ClO₄ (M = Pt **1**, Pd **2**) [Scheme 1(d)].

Conclusion

[M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)] (M = Pt, Pd) act as Lewis bases towards [Ag(OClO₃)(PPh₃)], [Au(OClO₃)(PPh₃)] or AgClO₄ [M'] and the resulting polynuclear complexes contain not only Pt–M' interactions but also S–M' bonds. However, the way in which the platinum and [M'] fragments are connected is dependent on the [M'] moiety used. These results are in sharp contrast with the behaviour of [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CZ)] (Z = NMe₂, OEt) towards HgX₂ (X = Br, I) which results in the formation of the 1:1 adducts [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CZ)HgX(μ-X)]₂ (Z = NMe₂, OEt; X = Br, I) in which the platinum fragments were connected to mercury through unsupported Pt→Hg donor–acceptor bonds.^{9b}

Finally, it should be noted that given that palladium complexes are not so prone to form Pd–Ag bonds, the structure of the analogous Pd–Ag derivatives and the way in which the palladium substrates are connected to the silver fragment could

be rather different to that of the platinum complexes described above and should be established by X-ray diffraction study.¹⁵

Experimental

General procedures and materials

Elemental analyses were determined using a Perkin-Elmer 240-B microanalyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 599 spectrophotometer (Nujol mulls between polyethylene plates in the range 4000–200 cm⁻¹). NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian XL-200 or a Varian Unity 300 NMR spectrometer using the standard references. [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)]₂,^{9a} [Pd{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)]₂,^{9b} [Ag(OClO₃)(PPh₃)]₂^{13a} and [AuCl(PPh₃)]₂²⁹ were prepared by literature methods. All the reactions were carried out with exclusion of light.

CAUTION Perchlorate salts are potentially explosive. Only small amounts of material should be prepared and these should be handled with great caution.

[M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)AgPPh₃]ClO₄ (M = Pt **1**, Pd **2**)

M = Pt (1). *Method (a).* [Ag(OClO₃)(PPh₃)] (0.1796 g, 0.38 mmol) was added to a solution of [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)] **A** (0.2366 g, 0.38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and immediately the solution turned bright yellow. After 10 min stirring in the dark the solution was evaporated almost to dryness and *n*-pentane added to the residue, giving **1** as a white solid (0.31 g, 75%).

Method (b). To a yellow solution of [Pt₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OClO₃)}]ClO₄ **5** (0.1525 g, 0.0923 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added PPh₃ (0.0484 g, 0.1846 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 20 min and then the solution evaporated almost to dryness. Addition of *n*-pentane to the residue afforded **1** (0.14 g, 70%) (Found: C, 46.44; H, 4.06; N, 1.41. AgC₄₂ClH₄₁NO₄P₂S₂ requires C, 46.35; H, 3.80; N, 1.29%). (ν/cm⁻¹): 460m, 476s, 488m, 506s, 522s, 563m, 585m, 694vs, 747vs (C^P and PPh₃), 958m, 1568vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻), 622s, 1097vs (ClO₄⁻).

M = Pd (2). *Method (a).* [Ag(OClO₃)(PPh₃)] (0.1176 g, 0.2505 mmol) was added to a solution of [Pd{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)] **B** (0.1328 g, 0.2505 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The mixture was stirred in the dark for 30 min and then filtered through Celite to remove the small amount of suspended solid. Evaporation of the resulting solution to dryness and addition of Et₂O to the residue afforded **2** as a bright yellow solid (0.18 g, 72%).

Method (b). To a yellow solution of [Pd₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OClO₃)}]ClO₄ **6** (0.0761 g, 0.0516 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added PPh₃ (0.027 g, 0.1032 mmol) and the mixture was stirred in the dark for 45 min. Evaporation of the solution almost to dryness and addition of Et₂O to the residue yielded **2** (0.0488 g, 47%) (Found: C, 50.70; H, 4.30; N, 1.30. AgC₄₂ClH₄₁NO₄P₂S₂ requires C, 50.47; H, 4.13; N, 1.40%). (ν/cm⁻¹): 460m, 470s, 480m, 505s, 521s, 560m, 581m, 695vs, 750s (C^P and PPh₃), 963m, 1543vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻) 623s, 1091vs (ClO₄⁻).

[M{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)Au(PPh₃)ClO₄ (M = Pt **3**, Pd **4**)

M = Pt (3). A solution of [AuCl(PPh₃)] (0.1979 g, 0.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂–OEt₂ (30:10 mL) was reacted with AgClO₄ (0.083 g, 0.4 mmol) for 45 min and the precipitated AgCl was filtered off. The resulting solution containing the [Au(OClO₃)(PPh₃)] species (0.40 mmol) was treated with [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)] (0.247 g, 0.40 mmol) and the solution turned yellow immediately. After 10 min stirring at room

temperature, the solution was evaporated almost to dryness and subsequent addition of OEt₂ (20 mL) rendered **3** as a white solid (0.21 g, 44%) (Found: C, 43.62; H, 3.53; N, 1.23). AuC₄₂ClH₄₁NO₄P₂PtS₂ requires C, 42.85; H, 3.51; N, 1.19%). ($\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 464w, 476m, 485w, 510s, 539vs, 563m, 585m, 693vs, 753vs (C[^]P and PPh₃), 1563vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻), 623s, 1100vs (ClO₄⁻).

M = Pd (4). Compound **4** prepared in a similar manner: [AuCl(PPh₃)] (0.1139 g, 0.2302 mmol), AgClO₄ (0.0477 g, 0.2302 mmol); [Pd{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)] (0.122 g, 0.2302 mmol). Yield: 0.169 g, 67% (Found: C, 45.98; H, 3.62; N, 1.22). AuC₄₂ClH₄₁NO₄P₂PdS₂ requires C, 46.33; H, 3.80; N, 1.28%). ($\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 461w, 469s, 510s, 536s, 559m, 579m, 693s, 753s, 761s (C[^]P and PPh₃), 1532vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻), 623s, 1098vs (ClO₄⁻).

[Pt₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OClO₃)}]ClO₄ **5**

AgClO₄ (0.057 g, 0.275 mmol) was added to a solution of [Pt{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂-C,*P*}(S₂CNMe₂)] (0.170 g, 0.275 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. After filtration of the solid impurities, the solution was evaporated to dryness and *n*-pentane added to the residue giving rise to a solid which was identified as **5** (0.202 g, 89%) (Found: C, 34.84; H, 2.72; N, 1.76). Ag₂C₄₈Cl₂H₅₂N₂O₈P₂Pt₂S₄ requires C, 34.90; H, 3.17; N, 1.69%). ($\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 459m, 475m, 504w, 528w, 562m, 586m, 756s, 770s (C[^]P), 950w, 1582vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻), 623s, 1095vs (ClO₄⁻).

Small crystals of **5**·0.5CHCl₃·0.125C₆H₁₄ were grown by slow diffusion of *n*-hexane into a CHCl₃ solution of compound **5** at 5 °C.

[Pd₂{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)₂Ag{Ag(OClO₃)}]ClO₄ **6**

Equimolar amounts of [Pd{CH₂C₆H₄P(C₆H₄Me-*o*)₂}(S₂CNMe₂)] (0.139 g, 0.262 mmol) and AgClO₄ (0.0543 g, 0.262 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂-OEt₂ (15:7 mL) and reacted for 4 h in the dark. The precipitated solid, **6**, was filtered off (0.1017 g, 53%) (Found: C, 38.39; H, 3.89; N, 1.84). Ag₂C₄₈Cl₂H₅₂N₂O₈P₂Pd₂S₄ requires C, 39.10; H, 3.55; N, 1.90%). ($\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 454m, 470m, 478m, 498w, 517m, 524m, 558m, 583m, 756s (C[^]P), 947m, 1580vs (Me₂NCS₂⁻), 622s, 1094vs (ClO₄⁻).

Crystallographic studies

Table 1 reports details of the structure analyses for **1**·1.5CHCl₃ and **5**·0.5H₂O·0.5CHCl₃·0.125C₆H₁₄. In **1**·1.5CHCl₃ two rings of the PPh₃ ligand show disorder in some of their carbon atoms over two sets of positions which were refined with 0.5 occupancy. Some restraints in the bond angles and thermal parameters have been applied on one of the CHCl₃ solvent molecules. The largest final difference electron density map show two peaks (2.70, 1.08 e Å⁻³) within 1.1 Å of the heavy atoms.

In **5**·0.5H₂O·0.5CHCl₃·0.125C₆H₁₄ all non-hydrogen atoms, except for some belonging to the solvent molecules, were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters. The second silver atom site [Ag(2)/Ag(2')] is disordered as a result of the coordination of water to one of them Ag(2') in half of the molecules. The oxygen atoms of one of the ClO₄ anions are also disordered over two positions whose occupancies have been refined to 0.53(3) and 0.47(3). Finally, the CHCl₃ solvent molecule is also disordered showing two orientations that share two Cl atoms. The occupancy of the non-shared atoms was set to 0.3 and 0.2. The largest final difference electron density features are close to the disordered CHCl₃ molecule.

CCDC reference number 186/1166.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Dirección General de Enseñanza Superior (Spain) for financial support (Project PB95-0003-C02-01). One of us (A. M.) thanks the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for a F.P.U. (Becas en el extranjero) grant.

References

- 1 R. Usón and J. Forniés, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1992, **198**, 165 and references therein; R. Usón, J. Forniés, M. Tomás and I. Ara, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 4023.
- 2 G. J. Arsenault, C. M. Anderson and R. J. Puddephatt, *Organometallics*, 1988, **7**, 2094.
- 3 A. F. M. J. van der Ploeg, G. van Koten and K. Vrieze, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 2026.
- 4 M. Ebihara, K. Tokoro, K. Imaeda, K. Sakurai, H. Masuda and K. Kawamura, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1592.
- 5 M. Ebihara, K. Tokoro, M. Maeda, M. Ogami, K. Imaeda, K. Sakurai, K. Masuda and T. Kawamura, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1994, 3621.
- 6 A. Albinati, S. Chaloupka, F. Demartin, T. F. Koetzle, H. Rügger, L. M. Venanzi and M. K. Wolfer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 169.
- 7 D. Holtherrich, M. Krumm, E. Zangrando, F. Pichierri, L. Randaccio and B. Lippert, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1995, 3275.
- 8 V. W. W. Yam, P. K. Y. Yeung and K. K. Cheung, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 739.
- 9 (a) J. Forniés, A. Martín, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia and P. Villarroya, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1826; (b) L. R. Falvello, J. Forniés, A. Martín, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia and P. Villarroya, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 6166.
- 10 M. Nardelli, *Comput. Chem.*, 1983, **7**, 95.
- 11 R. Usón, J. Forniés, M. Tomás, I. Ara and J. M. Casas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 2388.
- 12 R. Usón, J. Forniés, M. Tomás, J. M. Casas, F. A. Cotton and L. R. Falvello, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 4519.
- 13 (a) F. A. Cotton, L. R. Falvello, R. Usón, J. Forniés, J. M. Tomás, J. M. Casas and I. Ara, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1366; (b) R. Usón, J. Forniés, M. Tomás, I. Ara, J. M. Casas and A. Martín, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1991, 2253.
- 14 J. E. Huheey, *Inorganic Chemistry, Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, Harper & Row, New York, 3rd edn., 1983.
- 15 J. Forniés, R. Navarro, M. Tomás and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 940; J. Forniés, F. Martínez, R. Navarro and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Organometallics*, 1966, **15**, 1813.
- 16 D. Coucouvanis, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **26**, 424.
- 17 B. J. Hathaway and A. E. Underhill, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, 3091.
- 18 A. J. Cheney and B. L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1972, 754, 860.
- 19 G. J. Gainsford and R. Mason, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1974, **80**, 395.
- 20 A. J. Cheney, W. S. McDonald, K. O'Flynn, B. L. Shaw and B. L. Turtle, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1973, 128.
- 21 A. L. Rheingold and W. C. Fultz, *Organometallics*, 1984, **3**, 1414; W. A. Herrmann, C. Brossmer, K. Öfele, C.-P. Reisinger, T. Priemeier, M. Beller and H. Fischer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1844.
- 22 M. Munakata, M. Maekawa, S. Kitagawa, M. Adachi and H. Masuda, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1990, **167**, 181.
- 23 M. Barrow, H.-B. Büergi, M. Camalli, F. Caruso, E. Fischer, L. M. Venanzi and L. Zambonelli, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 2356.
- 24 S. Kitagawa, M. Kondo, S. Kawata, S. Wada, M. Maekawa and M. Munakata, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 1455.
- 25 (a) G. Smith, A. N. Reddy, K. A. Byriel and C. H. L. Kennard, *Polyhedron*, 1994, **15**, 2425; (b) H. Schöllhorn, U. Thewalt and B. Lippert, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **135**, 155.
- 26 *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, Chemical Rubber Publishing Co., Cleveland, 61st edn., 1980, F219.
- 27 R. Usón, J. Forniés, B. Menjón, F. A. Cotton, L. R. Falvello and M. Tomás, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4651.
- 28 C. E. Housecroft, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **115**, 141.
- 29 R. Usón and A. Laguna, *Organomet. Synth.*, 1985, **3**, 325.